arXiv:1407.8443v1 [math.NT] 31 Jul 2014

The 2-adic valuations of differences of Stirling numbers of the second kind

Wei Zhao
Mathematical College, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, P.R. China
Email: zhaowei9801@163.com

Jianrong Zhao
School of Economic Mathematics, Southwestern University of Finance and Economics, Chengdu 610074, P.R. China
Email: mathzjr@swufe.edu.cn, mathzjr@foxmail.com

Shaofang Hong *
Mathematical College, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, P.R. China
Email: sfhong@scu.edu.cn, s-f.hong@tom.com, hongsf02@yahoo.com

Abstract. Let m, n, k and c be positive integers. Let $\nu_2(k)$ be the 2-adic valuation of k. By $S(n,k)$ we denote the Stirling numbers of the second kind. In this paper, we first establish a convolution identity of the Stirling numbers of the second kind and provide a detailed 2-adic analysis to the Stirling numbers of the second kind. Consequently, we show that if $2 \le m \le n$ and c is odd, then $\nu_2(S(c2^{n+1}, 2^m-1) - S(c2^n, 2^m-1)) = n+1$ except when $n = m = 2$ and $c = 1$, in which case $\nu_2(S(8,3) - S(4,3)) = 6$. This solves a conjecture of Lengyel proposed in 2009.

Keywords: Stirling numbers of the second kind, 2-adic valuation, ring of p-adic integers, generating function, convolution identity.

MR(2000) Subject Classification: Primary 11B73, 11A07

1 Introduction and the statements of main results

Let $\mathbb{N}$ denote the set of nonegative integers and let $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$. The Stirling numbers of the second kind $S(n,k)$ is defined as the number of ways to partition a set of n elements into exactly k non-empty subsets. Divisibility properties of Stirling numbers of the second kind $S(n,k)$ have been studied from a number of different perspectives. For each given k, the sequence $\{S(n,k), n \ge k\}$ is known to be periodic modulo prime powers. Carlitz [3]

*S. Hong is the corresponding author and was supported partially by National Science Foundation of China Grant #11371260.

and Kwong [14] have studied the length of this period, respectively. Chan and Manna [4] characterized $S(n,k)$ modulo prime powers in terms of binomial coefficients when k is a multiple of prime powers. Various congruences involving sums of $S(n,k)$ are also known [20].

Given a prime p and a positive integer m, there exist unique integers a and n, with $p \nmid a$ and $n \geq 0$, such that $m = ap^n$. The number n is called the *p-adic valuation* of m, denoted by $n = v_p(m)$. The study of p-adic valuations of Stirling numbers of the second kind is full with challenging problems. The values $\min\{v_p(k!S(n,k)) : m \leq k \leq n\}$ are important in algebraic topology, see, for example, [2, 6, 8, 9, 10, 17, 18]. Some work evaluating $v_p(k!S(n,k))$ have appeared in above papers as well as in [5, 7, 23]. Lengyel [15] studied the 2-adic valuations of $S(n,k)$ and conjectured, proved by Wannemacker [21], that $\nu_2(S(2^n,k)) = s_2(k) - 1$, where $s_2(k)$ means the base 2 digital sum of k. Lengyel [16] showed that if $1 \leq k \leq 2^n$, then $\nu_2(S(c2^n,k)) = s_2(k) - 1$ for any positive integer c. Hong et al [11] proved that $\nu_2(S(2^n+1,k+1)) = s_2(k) - 1$, which confirmed a conjecture of Amdeberhan et al [1].

On the other hand, Lengyel [16] studied the 2-adic valuations of the difference $S(c2^{n+1},k) - S(c2^{n+1},k)$. It appears that its 2-adic valuation increases by one as n by one, provided that n is large enough. As a consequence, Lengyel proposed the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1. *[16] Let $n,k,a,b,c \in \mathbb{N}$ with $c \geq 1$ being odd and $3 \leq k \leq 2^n$. Then*

$$\nu_2(S(c2^{n+1},k) - S(c2^n,k)) = n + 1 - f(k) \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$\nu_2(S(a2^n,k) - S(b2^n,k)) = n + 1 + \nu_2(a-b) - f(k) \tag{1.2}$$

for some function $f(k)$ which is independent of n (for any sufficiently large n).

When k is a power of 2 minus 1, Lengyel suggested the following conjecture which is stronger than (1.1).

Conjecture 1.2. *[16] Let $c,m,n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $c \geq 1$ being odd and $2 \leq m \leq n$. Then*

$$\nu_2(S(c2^{n+1},2^m-1) - S(c2^n,2^m-1)) = n+1.$$

Lengyel [16] showed that (1.1) is true if $s_2(k) \leq 2$. For any real number x, as usual, let $\lceil x \rceil$ and $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denote the smallest integer no less than x and the biggest integer no more than x, respectively. In [22], we used the Junod's congruence [12] about the Bell polynomials to show the following result.

Theorem 1.1 *[22] Let $n,k,a,b,c \in \mathbb{N}$ with $c \geq 1$ being odd, $3 \leq k \leq 2^n$ and $a > b$. If k is not a power of 2 minus 1, then*

$$v_2(S(a2^n,k) - S(b2^n,k)) = n + v_2(a-b) - \lceil \log_2 k \rceil + s_2(k) + \delta(k),$$

where $\delta(4)=2$, $\delta(k)=1$ *if* $k>4$ *is a power of 2, and* $\delta(k)=0$ *otherwise. In particular,*

$$v_2(S(c2^{n+1},k)-S(c2^n,k))=n-\lceil\log_2 k\rceil+s_2(k)+\delta(k).$$

Therefore Conjecture 1.1 is true except when k is a power of 2 minus 1, in which case Conjecture 1.1 is still kept open so far. It is also remarked in [22] that the techniques there is not suitable for the remaining case that k is a power of 2 minus 1.

In this paper, we introduce a new method to investigate the 2-adic valuations of differences of Stirling numbers of the second kind. Our main goal in this paper is to study Conjecture 1.1 for the remaining case and Conjecture 1.2. We will develop a detailed 2-adic analysis to the Stirling numbers of the second kind. The main results of this paper can be stated as follows.

Theorem 1.2 *Let* $a,b,n\in\mathbb{N}$ *with* $a>b\geq 1$. *Then each of the following is true.*

(i). *If* $n\geq 2$, *then*

$$\nu_2(S(a2^n,3)-S(b2^n,3))\begin{cases}=n+1+\nu_2(a-b) & \text{if } b2^n>n+2+\nu_2(a-b),\\ >n+1+\nu_2(a-b) & \text{if } b2^n=n+2+\nu_2(a-b),\\ =b2^n-1 & \text{if } b2^n<n+2+\nu_2(a-b).\end{cases}$$

(ii). *If* $n\geq 3$, *then*

$$\nu_2(S(a2^n,7)-S(b2^n,7))\begin{cases}=n+1+\nu_2(a-b) & \text{if } b2^n>n+3+\nu_2(a-b),\\ >n+1+\nu_2(a-b) & \text{if } b2^n=n+3+\nu_2(a-b),\\ =b2^n-2 & \text{if } b2^n<n+3+\nu_2(a-b).\end{cases}$$

Theorem 1.3 *Let* $c,m,n\in\mathbb{N}$ *with* $c\geq 1$ *being odd and* $2\leq m\leq n$. *Then*

$$\nu_2(S(c2^{n+1},2^m-1)-S(c2^n,2^m-1))=n+1$$

except when $n=m=2$ *and* $c=1$, *in which case one has* $\nu_2(S(8,3)-S(4,3))=6$.

Evidently, by Theorem 1.3 one knows that Conjecture 1.2 is true except for the exceptional case that $n=m=2$ and $c=1$, in which case Conjecture 1.2 is not true. It also implies that (1.1) holds for the remaining case that k equals a power of 2 minus 1. By Theorem 1.2, we know that (1.2) is true for the cases that $k=3$ and 7 and sufficiently large n, but the truth of (1.2) still keeps open when k is a power of 2 minus 1 and no less than 15.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some known results and show also several new results that are needed in the proof of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3. The proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 are given in Section 3. The key new ingredients in this paper are to make use of a classical congruence about binomial coefficients and to establish a new convolution identity of the Stirling numbers of the second kind.

In ending this section, we list several elementary properties of $S(n,k)$ that will be used freely throughout this paper:

- The recurrence relation

$$S(n,k) = S(n-1,k-1) + kS(n-1,k), \tag{1.3}$$

with initial condition $S(0,0) = 1$ and $S(n,0) = 0$ for $n > 0$.

- The explicit formula

$$S(n,k) = \frac{1}{k!}\sum_{i=0}^{k}(-1)^i\binom{k}{i}(k-i)^n. \tag{1.4}$$

- The generating function

$$(e^t-1)^k = k!\sum_{j=k}^{\infty} S(j,k)\frac{t^j}{j!} \tag{1.5}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{(1-x)(1-2x)....(1-kx)} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} S(j+k,k)x^j. \tag{1.6}$$

2 Preliminary lemmas

In the present section, we give preliminary lemmas which are needed in the proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3. We begin with Kummer's identity.

Lemma 2.1 *[13] (Kummer) Let k and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $k \leq n$. Then*

$$\nu_2\Big(\binom{n}{k}\Big) = s_2(k) + s_2(n-k) - s_2(n).$$

Moreover, $s_2(k) + s_2(n-k) \geq s_2(n)$.

The following classical congruence about binomial coefficients is given in [19], which is the first new key ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 2.2 *[19] Let n and k be positive integers. For all primes p, we have*

$$\binom{pn}{pk} \equiv \binom{n}{k} \mod pn\mathbb{Z}_p,$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_p$ stands for the ring of p-adic integers.

Lemma 2.3 *[11] Let $N \geq 2$ be an integer and r, t be odd numbers. For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we have $\nu_2((r2^N-1)^{t2^m}-1) = m+N$.*

Lemma 2.4 *[4] Let a, n and $m \geq 3$ be positive integers with $n > a2^m$. Then*

$$S(n, a2^m) \equiv \begin{cases} a2^{m-1}\binom{\frac{n-1}{2}-a2^{m-2}-1}{a2^{m-2}-1}, & 2 \nmid n \\ a2^{m-1}\binom{\frac{n}{2}-a2^{m-2}-2}{a2^{m-2}-1} + \binom{\frac{n}{2}-a2^{m-2}-1}{a2^{m-2}-1}, & 2 \mid n \end{cases} \mod 2^m.$$

Lemma 2.5 *Let a, n and $m \geq 3$ be positive integers with $n \geq a2^m$. Then*

$$S(n, a2^m - 1) \equiv \begin{cases} a2^{m-1}\binom{\frac{n}{2}-a2^{m-2}-1}{a2^{m-2}-1}, & 2 \mid n \\ a2^{m-1}\binom{\frac{n+1}{2}-a2^{m-2}-2}{a2^{m-2}-1} + \binom{\frac{n+1}{2}-a2^{m-2}-1}{a2^{m-2}-1}, & 2 \nmid n \end{cases} \mod 2^m.$$

Proof. Using the recurrence relation (1.3), we know that

$$S(n+1, a2^m) = S(n, a2^m - 1) + a2^m S(n, a2^m). \tag{2.1}$$

Thus Lemma 2.5 follows immediately from (2.1) and Lemma 2.4. □

Lemma 2.6 *[16] Let $m, n, c \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq m < n$. Then $\nu_2(S(c2^n + 2^m, 2^n)) = n - 1 - m$.*

Lemma 2.7 *[16] Let $c, n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. If $2 \leq m \leq n$ and $c \geq 1$, then $S(c2^n, 2^m) \equiv 1 \mod 4$ and $S(c2^n, 2^m - 1) \equiv 3 \cdot 2^{m-1} \mod 2^{m+1}$.*

Lemma 2.8 *[16] Let m be a positive integer. Then for $m \geq 3$, one has*

$$\prod_{i=1}^{2^{m-1}} (1 - (2i-1)x) \equiv (1 + 3x^2)^{2^{m-2}} \mod 2^{m+1},$$

and for $m \geq 4$, one has

$$\prod_{i=1}^{2^{m-1}-1} (1 - 2ix) \equiv 1 + 2^{m-1}x + 2^{m-1}x^2 + 2^m x^4 \mod 2^{m+1}.$$

Lemma 2.9 *Let c, n and m be positive integers with $3 \leq m \leq n$. Then*

$$S(c2^n + 2^{m-1}, 2^m) \equiv 3 \mod 4 \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$S(c2^n + 2^{m-1}, 2^m - 1) \equiv 2^{m-1} \mod 2^{m+1}. \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. For any integer $n \geq m \geq 3$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\binom{c2^{n-1}-1}{2^{m-2}-1} &= \frac{(c2^{n-1}-1)(c2^{n-1}-2)...(c2^{n-1}-2^{m-2}+1)}{(2^{m-2}-1)!} \\ &= -1+\sum_{t=1}^{2^{m-2}-1}(c2^{n-1})^t(-1)^{2^{m-2}-1-t}\sum_{1\leq i_1<...<i_t\leq 2^{m-2}-1}\frac{1}{i_1...i_t} \\ &= -1+\sum_{t=1}^{2^{m-2}-1}c^t(-1)^{t+1}\sum_{1\leq i_1<...<i_t\leq 2^{m-2}-1}\frac{2^{t(n-1)}}{i_1...i_t}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Obviously, $\nu_2(i) \leq m-3$ for any integer $1 \leq i \leq 2^{m-2}-1$. So for any integers $i_1, ..., i_t$ with $1 \leq i_1 < ... < i_t \leq 2^{m-2}-1$, we have

$$\nu_2\Big(\frac{2^{t(n-1)}}{i_1...i_t}\Big) \geq t(n-1)-t(m-3) \geq t(n-m+2) \geq 2t \geq 2 \tag{2.5}$$

since $n \geq m \geq 3$. It then follows from Lemma 2.4, (2.4) and (2.5) that

$$S(c2^n+2^{m-1},2^m) \equiv \binom{c2^{n-1}-1}{2^{m-2}-1} \equiv 3 \mod 4,$$

which means that (2.2) is true.

Now we prove that congruence (2.3) is true. For $m=3$, one has

$$\prod_{i=1}^{2^{m-1}-1}(1-2ix) = (1-2x)(1-4x)(1-6x) \equiv 1+4x+12x^2 \mod 2^4. \tag{2.6}$$

So by Lemma 2.8 and (2.6), one get

$$\prod_{i=1}^{2^{m-1}-1}(1-2ix) \equiv 1+2^{m-1}x+2^{m-1}x^2\phi_m(x) \mod 2^{m+1}, \tag{2.7}$$

where $\phi_1(x) := 3$ and $\phi_m(x) := 1+2x^2$ if $m \geq 4$. Then by (1.6), (2.7) and Lemma 2.8, we get the following congruence modulo 2^{m+1}:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}S(j+2^m-1,2^m-1)x^j &= \prod_{i=1}^{2^m-1}\frac{1}{1-ix} \\ &= \Big(\prod_{i=1}^{2^{m-1}-1}\frac{1}{1-2ix}\Big)\Big(\prod_{i=1}^{2^{m-1}}\frac{1}{1-(2i-1)x}\Big) \\ &\equiv (1+2^{m-1}x+2^{m-1}x^2\phi_m(x))^{-1}(1+3x^2)^{-2^{m-2}} \\ &\equiv (1+3\cdot 2^{m-1}x+3\cdot 2^{m-1}x^2\phi_m(x))\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\binom{-2^{m-2}}{i}3^ix^{2i}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Note that the coefficient of $x^{c2^n-2^{m-1}+1}$ is $S(c2^n+2^{m-1},2^m-1)$. By (2.8), we have

$$S(c2^n+2^{m-1},2^m-1)\equiv 3\cdot 2^{m-1}\cdot 3^{c2^{n-1}-2^{m-2}}\binom{-2^{m-2}}{c2^{n-1}-2^{m-2}} \mod 2^{m+1}. \tag{2.9}$$

Then Lemma 2.3 tells us that

$$3^{c2^{n-1}-2^{m-2}}=(2^2-1)^{(c2^{n-m+1}-1)2^{m-2}}\equiv 1 \mod 2^m. \tag{2.10}$$

By (2.4), we obtain that

$$\binom{-2^{m-2}}{c2^{n-1}-2^{m-2}}=(-1)^{c2^{n-1}-2^{m-2}}\binom{c2^{n-1}-1}{c2^{n-1}-2^{m-2}}=\binom{c2^{n-1}-1}{2^{m-2}-1}\equiv 3 \mod 4. \tag{2.11}$$

It then follows from (2.9) to (2.11) that (2.3) holds. The proof of Lemma 2.9 is complete. □

Now we give a new convolution identity about Stirling numbers of the second kind. It is the second new key ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 2.10 *Let k_1, k_2 and n be positive integers. Then*

$$S(n,k_1+k_2)=\frac{k_1!k_2!}{(k_1+k_2)!}\sum_{i=k_1}^{n-k_2}\binom{n}{i}S(i,k_1)S(n-i,k_2).$$

Proof. By (1.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}(e^t-1)^{k_1+k_2}&=(e^t-1)^{k_1}\cdot(e^t-1)^{k_2}\\&=\Big(k_1!\sum_{n=k_1}^{\infty}S(n,k_1)\frac{t^n}{n!}\Big)\cdot\Big(k_2!\sum_{n=k_2}^{\infty}S(n,k_2)\frac{t^n}{n!}\Big)\\&=k_1!k_2!\Big(\sum_{n=k_1+k_2}^{\infty}\Big(\sum_{i=k_1}^{n-k_2}\frac{S(i,k_1)S(n-i,k_2)}{i!(n-i)!}\Big)t^n\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

On the other hand, by (1.5) we know that

$$(e^t-1)^{k_1+k_2}=(k_1+k_2)!\sum_{n=k_1}^{\infty}S(n,k_1+k_2)\frac{t^n}{n!}. \tag{2.13}$$

Then comparing the coefficients of t^n in (2.12) and (2.13), we obtain that

$$S(n,k_1+k_2)\frac{(k_1+k_2)!}{n!}=k_1!k_2!\sum_{i=k_1}^{n-k_2}\frac{S(i,k_1)S(n-i,k_2)}{i!(n-i)!}. \tag{2.14}$$

It then follows from (2.14) that

$$S(n,k_1+k_2)=\frac{k_1!k_2!}{(k_1+k_2)!}\sum_{i=k_1}^{n-k_2}\binom{n}{i}S(i,k_1)S(n-i,k_2)$$

as desired. The proof of Lemma 2.10 is complete. □

Lemma 2.11 *Let $c, i, s, t \in \mathbb{N}$ with $c \geq 1$ being odd. Then each of the following is true.*

(i). *If $1 \leq i < c2^s$ and $\nu_2(i) < s$, then*

$$\nu_2\Big(\binom{c2^s}{i}\Big) \geq s - \nu_2(i),$$

with equality holding if and only if $s_2(c-1-\lfloor i/2^s \rfloor) + s_2(\lfloor i/2^s \rfloor) = s_2(c-1)$.

(ii). *If $3 \leq i < c2^s$ and $t \geq 2$, then*

$$\nu_2\Big(2^{ti}\binom{c2^s}{i}\Big) \geq s + 6.$$

Proof. (i). For any integer i with $i < c2^s$ and $\nu_2(i) < s$, we can write $i = a + b2^s$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < a < 2^s$. Then one has $b = \lfloor \frac{i}{2^s} \rfloor$ and $\nu_2(i) = \nu_2(a)$. Using Lemma 2.1, we derive that

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu_2\Big(\binom{c2^s}{i}\Big) &= s_2(c2^s - i) + s_2(i) - s_2(c2^s) \\
&= s_2(c2^s - a - b2^s) + s_2(a + b2^s) - s_2(c2^s) \\
&= s_2((c-1-b)2^s + 2^s - a) + s_2(a) + s_2(b) - s_2(c) \\
&= s_2(c-1-b) + s_2(b) + s_2(2^s - a) + s_2(a) - s_2(c). \qquad (2.15)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $s_2(2^s - a) = s - \nu_2(a) - s_2(a) + 1$. Since $c \geq 1$ is odd, one has $s_2(c) - s_2(c-1) = 1$. It then follows from Lemma 2.1 and (2.15) that

$$\nu_2\Big(\binom{c2^s}{i}\Big) \geq s_2(c-1) + s_2(2^s - a) + s_2(a) - s_2(c) = s - \nu_2(a) = s - \nu_2(i),$$

and equality holds if and only if $s_2(c-1-b) + s_2(b) = s_2(c-1)$. This implies that part (i) is true. So part (i) is proved.

(ii). Clearly, if $\nu_2(i) \geq s$, then $\nu_2\big(\binom{c2^s}{i}\big) \geq 0 \geq s - \nu_2(i)$. If $\nu_2(i) < s$, by part (i) one also has $\nu_2\big(\binom{c2^s}{i}\big) \geq s - \nu_2(i)$. Then we deduce that

$$\nu_2\Big(2^{ti}\binom{c2^s}{i}\Big) \geq ti + s - \nu_2(i). \qquad (2.16)$$

Since $t \geq 2$, by (2.16), one can easily check that $\nu_2\big(2^{ti}\binom{c2^s}{i}\big) \geq s+6$ if $i \leq 5$. This means that part (ii) is true for the case $i \leq 5$. Now let $i \geq 6$. By (2.16), we get that

$$\nu_2\Big(2^{ti}\binom{c2^s}{i}\Big) \geq (t-1)i + s + i - \nu_2(i) \geq s + 6$$

as desired. □

Lemma 2.12 *Let c, r and s be positive integers with $c \geq 1$ being odd and $s \geq r \geq 3$. For any integer i with $2^r - 1 \leq i \leq c2^s - 2^r$, define*

$$f_{r,s}(i) := \binom{c2^s}{i} S(i, 2^r - 1) S(c2^s - i, 2^r). \qquad (2.17)$$

Then each of the following is true.

(i). *If* $\nu_2(i) \le r-2$, *then* $\nu_2(f_{r,s}(i)) \ge s+2$.

(ii). *If* $\nu_2(i) = r-1$, *then* $\nu_2(f_{r,s}(i)) \ge s$ *with equality holding if and only if*

$$s_2(c-1-\lfloor i/2^s \rfloor) + s_2(\lfloor i/2^s \rfloor) = s_2(c-1).$$

(iii). $\nu_2\Bigg(\sum\limits_{\substack{i=2^r-1 \\ \nu_2(i)\le r-1}}^{c2^s-2^r} f_{r,s}(i)\Bigg) \ge s+1.$

(iv). $f_{r,s}(2^r) + f_{r,s}(c2^s-2^r) \equiv 2^s \mod 2^{s+1}$.

(v). *For any integer* l *with* $1 \le l \le c2^{s-r}-2$, *we have*

$$f_{r,s+1}(l2^{r+1}+2^r) \equiv f_{r,s}(l2^r+2^{r-1}) \mod 2^{s+2}.$$

Proof. (i). If $\nu_2(i) \le r-3$, then using Lemmas 2.4, 2.5 and 2.11 (i), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_2(f_{r,s}(i)) &= \nu_2\Big(\binom{c2^s}{i}\Big) + \nu_2\big(S(i,2^r-1)S(c2^s-i,2^r)\big) \\ &\ge s-\nu_2(i)+r-1 \ge s+2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

So part (i) is true if $\nu_2(i) \le r-3$.

Now we let $\nu_2(i) = r-2$. Since $2^r-1 \le i$, one may let $i = 2^{r-2}+i_1 2^{r-1}$ with $i_1 \ge 2$. Thus by Lemma 2.1 we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}&\nu_2\Big(\binom{\frac{i}{2}-2^{r-2}-1}{2^{r-2}-1}\Big) = \nu_2\Big(\binom{i_1 2^{r-2}-2^{r-3}-1}{2^{r-2}-1}\Big) \\ &= s_2(2^{r-2}-1) + s_2((i_1-1)2^{r-2}-2^{r-3}) - s_2(i_1 2^{r-2}-2^{r-3}-1) \\ &= (r-2) + s_2((i_1-2)2^{r-2}+2^{r-3}) - s_2((i_1-1)2^{r-2}+2^{r-3}-1) \\ &= 2 + s_2(i_1-2) - s_2(i_1-1) \\ &= 1 + s_2(1) + s_2(i_1-2) - s_2(i_1-1) \ge 1. \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

Clearly i is even since $\nu_2(i) = r-2$ and $r \ge 3$. From Lemma 2.5, we get that

$$S(i,2^r-1) \equiv 2^{r-1}\binom{\frac{i}{2}-2^{r-2}-1}{2^{r-2}-1} \mod 2^r. \tag{2.20}$$

It then follows from (2.19) and (2.20) that $\nu_2(S(i,2^r-1)) \ge r$. Since $\nu_2(i) = r-2$, by Lemma 2.11 one has

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_2(f_{r,s}(i)) &= \nu_2\Big(\binom{c2^s}{i}\Big) + \nu_2\big(S(i,2^r-1)S(c2^s-i,2^r)\big) \\ &\ge s-\nu_2(i)+r = s-(r-2)+r \\ &\ge s+2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

By (2.21), part (i) holds for the case $\nu_2(i) = r-2$. So part (i) is proved.

(ii). Let $\nu_2(i) = r-1$. Then we can write $i = 2^{r-1} + i_2 2^r$ with $i_2 \geq 1$ since $i \geq 2^r - 1$. So Lemma 2.5 tells us that

$$S(i, 2^r-1) = S(2^{r-1} + i_2 2^r, 2^r - 1) \equiv 2^{r-1} \binom{i_2 2^{r-1} - 1}{2^{r-2} - 1} \mod 2^r \tag{2.22}$$

since $r \geq 3$. Applying Lemma 2.1, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_2\Big(\binom{i_2 2^{r-1} - 1}{2^{r-2} - 1}\Big) &= s_2(2^{r-2} - 1) + s_2(i_2 2^{r-1} - 2^{r-2}) - s_2(i_2 2^{r-1} - 1) \\ &= r - 2 + s_2((i_2 - 1)2^{r-1} + 2^{r-2}) - s_2((i_2 - 1)2^{r-1} + 2^{r-1} - 1) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

It then follows from (2.22) and (2.23) that

$$\nu_2(S(i, 2^r - 1)) = r - 1. \tag{2.24}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.6 and noticing that $s \geq r$, we get that

$$\nu_2(S(c2^s - i, 2^r)) = \nu_2(S(c2^s - i_2 2^r - 2^{r-1}, 2^r)) = 0. \tag{2.25}$$

Then from Lemma 2.11 (i), (2.24) and (2.25), we deduce that $\nu_2(f_{r,s}(i)) \geq s$. Furthermore, the equality holds if and only if $s_2(c - 1 - \lfloor i/2^s \rfloor) + s_2(\lfloor i/2^s \rfloor) = s_2(c-1)$. Thus part (ii) is proved.

(iii). We define a subset of positive integers as follows:

$$J = \{i | 2^r < i < c2^s - 2^r, \nu_2(i) = r-1, s_2(c - 1 - \Big\lfloor \frac{i}{2^s} \Big\rfloor) + s_2(\Big\lfloor \frac{i}{2^s} \Big\rfloor) = s_2(c-1)\}.$$

We claim that $|J|$ is even. Note that $J = \emptyset$ if $c = 1$ and $r \leq s \leq r+1$. If $c = 1$ and $s \geq r+2$, then $J = \{i | 2^r < i < 2^s - 2^r,\ \nu_2(i) = r-1\}$. One can easily compute that $|J| = 2^{s-r} - 2$. So the claim is true for the case $c = 1$. In what follows we deal with the case that $c \geq 3$ is odd.

Let $c \geq 3$ be an odd integer. One can define three subsets of J as follows:

$$J_1 = \{i \in J | 2^r < i < 2^s\},\ J_2 = \{i \in J | 2^s < i < (c-1)2^s\},\ J_3 = \{i \in J | (c-1)2^s < i < c2^s - 2^r\}.$$

Clearly, $2^s \notin J$ and $(c-1)2^s \notin J$. Thus J_1, J_2 and J_3 are disjoint and $J = J_1 \cup J_2 \cup J_3$, which implies that $|J| = |J_1| + |J_2| + |J_3|$. If either $\lfloor \frac{i}{2^s} \rfloor = 0$ or $\lfloor \frac{i}{2^s} \rfloor = c-1$, then $s_2(c - 1 - \Big\lfloor \frac{i}{2^s} \Big\rfloor) + s_2(\Big\lfloor \frac{i}{2^s} \Big\rfloor) = s_2(c-1)$. It follows that

$$J_1 = \{i | 2^r < i < 2^s, \nu_2(i) = r-1\} \text{ and } J_3 = \{i | (c-1)2^s < i < c2^s - 2^r, \nu_2(i) = r-1\}.$$

One can compute that $|J_1| = |J_3| = 2^{s-r} - 1$. So to finish the proof of the claim, it suffices to show that $|J_2|$ is even. Now take any element $i \in J_2$. Then $2^s < i < (c-1)2^s$. Since

$i = (i - \Big\lfloor \frac{i}{2^s} \Big\rfloor 2^s) + \Big\lfloor \frac{i}{2^s} \Big\rfloor 2^s$, one has $\nu_2(i) = \nu_2(i - \Big\lfloor \frac{i}{2^s} \Big\rfloor 2^s)$ and $1 \le \Big\lfloor \frac{i}{2^s} \Big\rfloor \le c-2$. We can easily check that

$$i' := (i - \Big\lfloor \frac{i}{2^s} \Big\rfloor 2^s) + (c - 1 - \Big\lfloor \frac{i}{2^s} \Big\rfloor)2^s \in J_2.$$

Then $i \neq i'$. Otherwise, $i = i'$ implies that $\lfloor \frac{i}{2^s} \rfloor = \frac{c-1}{2}$, and so

$$s_2(c - 1 - \Big\lfloor \frac{i}{2^s} \Big\rfloor) + s_2(\Big\lfloor \frac{i}{2^s} \Big\rfloor) = 2s_2\Big(\frac{c-1}{2}\Big) > s_2(c-1)$$

since $c \ge 3$ is odd. Hence $i \notin J_2$. We arrive at a contradiction. It then follows that $|J_2|$ is even. The claim is proved.

From part (i) and (ii) and the claim above, we derive that

$$\sum_{i=2^r-1, \nu_2(i) \le r-1}^{c2^s-2^r} f_{r,s}(i) \equiv \sum_{i \in J} f_{r,s}(i) \equiv 0 \mod 2^{s+1},$$

which implies that part (iii) holds.

(iv). By Lemma 2.1, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_2\Big(\binom{c2^s}{2^r}\Big) &= \nu_2\Big(\binom{c2^s}{c2^s - 2^r}\Big) \\ &= s_2(c2^s - 2^r) + s_2(2^r) - s_2(c2^s) \\ &= s_2((c-1)2^s) + s_2(2^s - 2^r) + 1 - s_2(c) \\ &= s - r \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

since $s \ge r$ and $c \ge 1$ is odd. Then one may let $\binom{c2^s}{2^r} = 2^{s-r}(1 + 2k_0)$ with $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. From Lemma 2.7, we deduce that there are three integers k_1, k_2 and k_3 such that $S(2^r, 2^r - 1) = 2^{r-1}(3 + 4k_1)$, $S(c2^s - 2^r, 2^r - 1) = 2^{r-1}(3 + 4k_2)$ and $S(c2^s - 2^r, 2^r) = 1 + 4k_3$. It then follows from (2.26) that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{r,s}(2^r) &= \binom{c2^s}{2^r} S(2^r, 2^r - 1) S(c2^s - 2^r, 2^r) \\ &= 2^{s-r}(1 + 2k_0)2^{r-1}(3 + 4k_1)(1 + 4k_3) \\ &\equiv 2^{s-1}(3 + 2k_0) \mod 2^{s+1} \end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f_{r,s}(c2^s - 2^r) &= \binom{c2^s}{c2^s - 2^r} S(c2^s - 2^r, 2^r - 1) S(2^r, 2^r) \\ &= 2^{s-r}(1 + 2k_0)2^{r-1}(3 + 4k_2) \\ &\equiv 2^{s-1}(3 + 2k_0) \mod 2^{s+1}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

Then the desired result follows immediately from (2.27) and (2.28). Part (iv) is proved.

(v). Lemma 2.2 gives us that

$$\binom{c2^{s+1}}{l2^{r+1}+2^r} \equiv \binom{c2^s}{l2^r+2^{r-1}} \mod 2^{s+1}. \tag{2.29}$$

From Lemma 2.11 (i), we obtain that

$$\nu_2\Big(\binom{c2^s}{l2^r+2^{r-1}}\Big) \geq s-r+1. \tag{2.30}$$

It then follows from (2.29), (2.30) and Lemma 2.7 that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{r,s+1}(l2^{r+1}+2^r) &= \binom{c2^{s+1}}{l2^{r+1}+2^r} S(l2^{r+1}+2^r, 2^r-1) S(c2^{s+1}-l2^{r+1}-2^r, 2^r) \\ &= \Big(\binom{c2^s}{l2^r+2^{r-1}} + a_1\cdot 2^{s+1}\Big) 2^{r-1}(3+4a_2)(1+4a_3) \\ &\equiv 3\cdot 2^{r-1}\binom{c2^s}{l2^r+2^{r-1}} \mod 2^{s+2}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

where $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{N}$.

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.9 and (2.30), we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{r,s}(l2^r+2^{r-1}) &= \binom{c2^s}{l2^r+2^{r-1}} S(l2^r+2^{r-1}, 2^r-1) S(c2^s-l2^r-2^{r-1}, 2^r) \\ &= \binom{c2^s}{l2^r+2^{r-1}} 2^{r-1}(1+4a_4)(3+4a_5) \\ &\equiv 3\cdot 2^{r-1}\binom{c2^s}{l2^r+2^{r-1}} \mod 2^{s+2}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.32}$$

where $a_4, a_5 \in \mathbb{N}$. So part (v) follows immediately from (2.31) and (2.32).

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.12. □

3 Proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3

In this section, we use the lemmas presented in previous section to show Theorems 1.2 and 1.3. We begin with the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. (i). By (1.4), one has

$$S(a2^n,3) - S(b2^n,3) = \frac{1}{6}(3^{a2^n} - 3^{b2^n}) - \frac{1}{2}(2^{a2^n} - 2^{b2^n}). \tag{3.1}$$

Lemma 2.3 tells us that $\nu_2(3^{(a-b)2^n}-1) = n+\nu_2(a-b)+2$. Then

$$\nu_2\big(\frac{1}{6}(3^{a2^n}-3^{b2^n})\big) = -1+\nu_2\big(3^{b2^n-1}(3^{(a-b)2^n}-1)\big) = n+\nu_2(a-b)+1. \tag{3.2}$$

Since $a > b$, we get that

$$\nu_2\big(\frac{1}{2}(2^{a2^n} - 2^{b2^n})\big) = b2^n - 1. \tag{3.3}$$

It then follows from (3.1) to (3.3) that part (i) is true. Part (i) is proved.

(ii). Using (1.4), we deduce that

$$7!(S(a2^n, 7) - S(b2^n, 7)) = \sum_{i=0}^{7}(-1)^i\binom{7}{i}((7-i)^{a2^n} - (7-i)^{b2^n}) = h(1) + h(2), \tag{3.4}$$

where

$$h(1) := 7^{b2^n}(7^{(a-b)2^n} - 1) + \binom{7}{2}5^{b2^n}(5^{(a-b)2^n} - 1) + \binom{7}{4}3^{b2^n}(3^{(a-b)2^n} - 1) \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$h(2) := \binom{7}{1}(6^{b2^n} - 6^{a2^n}) + \binom{7}{3}(4^{b2^n} - 4^{a2^n}) + \binom{7}{5}(2^{b2^n} - 2^{a2^n}). \tag{3.6}$$

Let $d := n + \nu_2(a-b)$. Then there exists an odd integer c such that $(a-b)2^n = c2^d$. From Lemma 2.11 (ii), one knows that

$$\sum_{i=3}^{c2^d}(-1)^i 8^i\binom{c2^d}{i} \equiv \sum_{i=3}^{c2^d}(-1)^i 4^i\binom{c2^d}{i} \equiv \sum_{i=3}^{c2^d} 4^i\binom{c2^d}{i} \equiv 0 \mod 2^{d+6}.$$

It follows that

$$7^{c2^d} - 1 = (8-1)^{c2^d} - 1 = \sum_{i=1}^{c2^d}(-1)^i 8^i\binom{c2^d}{i} \equiv -c2^{d+3} - c2^{d+5} \mod 2^{d+6}, \tag{3.7}$$

$$5^{c2^d} - 1 = (4+1)^{c2^d} - 1 = \sum_{i=1}^{c2^d} 4^i\binom{c2^d}{i} \equiv c2^{d+2} - c2^{d+3} \mod 2^{d+6} \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$3^{c2^d} - 1 = (4-1)^{c2^d} - 1 = \sum_{i=1}^{c2^d}(-1)^i 4^i\binom{c2^d}{i} \equiv -c2^{d+2} - c2^{d+3} \mod 2^{d+6}. \tag{3.9}$$

Since $b \geq 1$ and $n \geq 3$, one has $7^{b2^n} \equiv 5^{b2^n} \equiv 3^{b2^n} \equiv 1 \mod 2^4$. Then by (3.5) and (3.7) to (3.9), and noting that $c \geq 1$ is odd, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} h(1) &\equiv -c2^{d+3} - c2^{d+5} + \binom{7}{2}(c2^{d+2} - c2^{d+3}) + \binom{7}{4}(-c2^{d+2} - c2^{d+3}) \\ &\equiv c2^{d+5} \equiv 2^{d+5} \mod 2^{d+6}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Thus by (3.10), we have

$$\nu_2(h(1)) = d + 5 = n + 5 + \nu_2(a - b). \tag{3.11}$$

On the other hand, since $a > b \geq 1$ and $n \geq 3$, one has $a2^n > b2^n + 3$ and $b2^{n+1} > b2^n + 3$. So by (3.6), we obtain that

$$h(2) \equiv 7 \cdot 6^{b2^n} + \binom{7}{5} 2^{b2^n} = 7 \cdot 2^{b2^n}(3^{b2^n} + 3) \equiv 2^{b2^n+2} \mod 2^{b2^n+3} \tag{3.12}$$

since $3^{b2^n} \equiv 1 \mod 2^{n+1}$ and $n \geq 3$. Hence (3.12) tells us that

$$\nu_2(h(2)) = b2^n + 2. \tag{3.13}$$

Note that $\nu_2(7!) = 4$. It then follows from (3.4), (3.11) and (3.13) that part (ii) is true.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is complete. □

In what follows, we give the proof of Theorem 1.3 as the conclusion of this paper.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We first deal with the case $m = 2$. If $n = 2$ and $c = 1$, then by (1.4), we compute that

$$S(c2^{n+1}, 3) - S(c2^n, 3) = S(8, 3) - S(4, 3) = 960,$$

which implies that $\nu_2(S(8,3) - S(4,3)) = 6$. If either $n \geq 3$ or $c \geq 3$, then $c2^n > n + 2 + \nu_2(c)$ since c is odd. So by Theorem 1.2 (i), one knows that

$$\nu_2(S(c2^{n+1}, 3) - S(c2^n, 3)) = n + 1 + \nu_2(c) = n + 1$$

as desired. So Theorem 1.3 is proved for the case $m = 2$.

In what follows we assume that $m \geq 3$. We proceed with induction on m. Consider the case $m = 3$. Since $n \geq 3$ and c is odd, one has $c2^n > n + 3 + \nu_2(c)$. So we can apply Theorem 1.2 (ii) and get that

$$\nu_2(S(c2^{n+1}, 7) - S(c2^n, 7)) = n + 1 + \nu_2(c) = n + 1,$$

which implies that Theorem 1.3 is true for $m = 3$.

Now let $m \geq 4$. Assume that Theorem 1.3 is true for the $m-1$ case. Then $\nu_2(S(c2^{n+1}, 2^{m-1} - 1) - S(c2^n, 2^{m-1} - 1)) = n + 1$. In the following we prove that Theorem 1.3 is true for the m case. Write

$$\Delta := \binom{2^m - 1}{2^{m-1}} \big(S(c2^{n+1}, 2^m - 1) - S(c2^n, 2^m - 1)\big). \tag{3.14}$$

Then Lemma 2.1 gives us that

$$\nu_2\Big(\binom{2^m-1}{2^{m-1}}\Big) = s_2(2^{m-1}-1) + s_2(2^{m-1}) - s_2(2^m-1) = 0. \tag{3.15}$$

It follows from (3.14) and (3.15) that

$$\nu_2(\Delta) = \nu_2(S(c2^{n+1}, 2^m-1) - S(c2^n, 2^m-1)).$$

So to prove that Theorem 1.3 is true for the m case, it is sufficient to show that

$$\Delta \equiv 2^{n+1} \mod 2^{n+2}, \tag{3.16}$$

which will be done in what follows.

By Lemma 2.10, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\binom{2^m-1}{2^{m-1}} S(c2^{n+1}, 2^m-1) &= \sum_{i=2^{m-1}-1}^{c2^{n+1}-2^{m-1}} \binom{c2^{n+1}}{i} S(i, 2^{m-1}-1) S(c2^{n+1}-i, 2^{m-1}) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{i=2^{m-1}-1,\\ \nu_2(i)\le m-2}}^{c2^{n+1}-2^{m-1}} f_{m-1,n+1}(i) + \sum_{\substack{i=2^{m-1}-1,\\ \nu_2(i)\ge m-1}}^{c2^{n+1}-2^{m-1}} f_{m-1,n+1}(i) \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\binom{2^m-1}{2^{m-1}} S(c2^{n}, 2^m-1) &= \sum_{i=2^{m-1}-1}^{c2^{n}-2^{m-1}} \binom{c2^{n}}{i} S(i, 2^{m-1}-1) S(c2^{n}-i, 2^{m-1}) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{i=2^{m-1}-1,\\ \nu_2(i)\le m-3}}^{c2^{n}-2^{m-1}} f_{m-1,n}(i) + \sum_{\substack{i=2^{m-1}-1,\\ \nu_2(i)\ge m-2}}^{c2^{n}-2^{m-1}} f_{m-1,n}(i), \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

where $f_{m-1,n}(i)$ and $f_{m-1,n+1}(i)$ are defined as in (2.17). Then letting $r = m-1$ and $s = n+1$ in Lemma 2.12 (iii) gives us that

$$\nu_2\Big(\sum_{\substack{i=2^{m-1}-1,\\ \nu_2(i)\le m-2}}^{c2^{n+1}-2^{m-1}} f_{m-1,n+1}(i)\Big) \ge n+2. \tag{3.19}$$

Using Lemma 2.12 (i) with $r = m-1$ and $s = n$, we deduce that

$$\nu_2\Big(\sum_{\substack{i=2^{m-1}-1,\\ \nu_2(i)\le m-3}}^{c2^{n}-2^{m-1}} f_{m-1,n}(i)\Big) \ge \min_{\substack{2^{m-1}-1\le i\le c2^n-2^{m-1}\\ \nu_2(i)\le m-3}} \{f_{m-1,n}(i)\} \ge n+2. \tag{3.20}$$

Then by (3.14) and (3.17)-(3.20), we conclude that

$$\Delta \equiv \sum_{\substack{i=2^{m-1}-1,\\ \nu_2(i)\ge m-1}}^{c2^{n+1}-2^{m-1}} f_{m-1,n+1}(i) - \sum_{\substack{i=2^{m-1}-1,\\ \nu_2(i)\ge m-2}}^{c2^{n}-2^{m-1}} f_{m-1,n}(i) \mod 2^{n+2}. \tag{3.21}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\substack{i=2^{m-1}-1,\\ \nu_2(i)\geq m-1}}^{c2^{n+1}-2^{m-1}} f_{m-1,n+1}(i) &= \sum_{\substack{i=2^{m-1}-1,\\ \nu_2(i)=m-1}}^{c2^{n+1}-2^{m-1}} f_{m-1,n+1}(i) + \sum_{\substack{i=2^{m-1}-1,\\ \nu_2(i)>m-1}}^{c2^{n+1}-2^{m-1}} f_{m-1,n+1}(i)\\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{c2^{n-m+1}-1} f_{m-1,n+1}(l2^m+2^{m-1}) + \sum_{l=1}^{c2^{n-m+1}-1} f_{m-1,n+1}(l2^m)\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\substack{i=2^{m-1}-1,\\ \nu_2(i)\geq m-2}}^{c2^{n}-2^{m-1}} f_{m-1,n}(i) &= \sum_{\substack{i=2^{m-1}-1,\\ \nu_2(i)=m-2}}^{c2^{n}-2^{m-1}} f_{m-1,n}(i) + \sum_{\substack{i=2^{m-1}-1,\\ \nu_2(i)>m-2}}^{c2^{n}-2^{m-1}} f_{m-1,n}(i)\\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{c2^{n-m+1}-2} f_{m-1,n}(l2^{m-1}+2^{m-2}) + \sum_{l=1}^{c2^{n-m+1}-1} f_{m-1,n}(l2^{m-1}).\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

Using Lemma 2.12 (iv) with $s=n+1$ and $r=m-1$, we obtain that

$$f_{m-1,n+1}(2^{m-1}) + f_{m-1,n+1}(c2^{n+1}-2^{m-1}) \equiv 2^{n+1} \mod 2^{n+2}. \tag{3.24}$$

Letting $s=n$ and $r=m-1$ in Lemma 2.12 (v), we derive that

$$\sum_{l=1}^{c2^{n-m+1}-2} \big(f_{m-1,n+1}(l2^m+2^{m-1}) - f_{m-1,n}(l2^{m-1}+2^{m-2})\big) \equiv 0 \mod 2^{n+2}. \tag{3.25}$$

Let $L := \{l \in \mathbb{N} | 1 \leq l \leq c2^{n-m+1}-1\}$. We define the following three subsets of L:

$L_1 = \{l \in L | \nu_2(l) < n-m+1\}$, $L_2 = \{l \in L | \nu_2(l) = n-m+1\}$, $L_3 = \{l \in L | \nu_2(l) > n-m+1\}$.

Then L_1, L_2 and L_3 are disjoint and $L = L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3$. For $i \in \{1,2,3\}$, we define

$$\Delta_i := \sum_{l \in L_i} \big(f_{m-1,n+1}(l2^m) - f_{m-1,n}(l2^{m-1})\big).$$

It then follows from (3.21) to (3.25) that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta &\equiv 2^{n+1} + \sum_{l \in L} \Big(f_{m-1,n+1}(l2^m) - f_{m-1,n}(l2^{m-1})\Big)\\ &\equiv 2^{n+1} + \Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + \Delta_3 \mod 2^{n+2}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

We claim that

$$\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + \Delta_3 \equiv 0 \mod 2^{n+2}. \tag{3.27}$$

Then from (3.26) and the claim (3.27), we deduce that $\Delta \equiv 2^{n+1} \mod 2^{n+2}$, i.e. (3.16) is true. In other words, Theorem 1.3 holds for the m case. It remains to show that (3.27) is true that we will do in the following.

From Lemma 2.2, we obtain that

$$\binom{c2^{n+1}}{l2^m} \equiv \binom{c2^n}{l2^{m-1}} \mod 2^{n+1}, \tag{3.28}$$

and Theorem 1.1 tells us that

$$\nu_2(S(c2^{n+1}-l2^m, 2^{m-1}) - S(c2^n - l2^{m-1}, 2^{m-1})) = \nu_2(c2^{n-m+1}-l)+2. \tag{3.29}$$

By the inductive hypothesis, we infer that

$$\nu_2(S(l2^m, 2^{m-1}-1) - S(l2^{m-1}, 2^{m-1}-1)) = \nu_2(l)+m. \tag{3.30}$$

It then follows from (3.28) to (3.30) and Lemma 2.7 that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{m-1,n+1}(l2^m) =& \binom{c2^{n+1}}{l2^m} S(l2^m, 2^{m-1}-1) S(c2^{n+1}-l2^m, 2^{m-1}) \\ =& \Big(\binom{c2^n}{l2^{m-1}} + b_1 \cdot 2^{n+1}\Big)\Big(S(l2^{m-1}, 2^{m-1}-1) + (2b_2+1)\cdot 2^{\nu_2(l)+m}\Big) \\ & \cdot \Big(S(c2^n - l2^{m-1}, 2^{m-1}) + (2b_3+1)\cdot 2^{\nu_2(c2^{n-m+1}-l)+2}\Big), \\ \equiv& \binom{c2^n}{l2^{m-1}}\Big(S(l2^{m-1}, 2^{m-1}-1) + (2b_2+1)\cdot 2^{\nu_2(l)+m}\Big) \\ & \cdot \Big(S(c2^n - l2^{m-1}, 2^{m-1}) + (2b_3+1)\cdot 2^{\nu_2(c2^{n-m+1}-l)+2}\Big) \mod 2^{n+2}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

where $b_1, b_2, b_3 \in \mathbb{N}$.

We first treat with Δ_1. For any elment $l \in L_1$, by Lemma 2.11 (i), we get that

$$\nu_2\Big(\binom{c2^n}{l2^{m-1}}\Big) \geq n-m+1-\nu_2(l). \tag{3.32}$$

From Lemma 2.7, we derive that

$$\nu_2\big(S(l2^{m-1}, 2^{m-1}-1)\cdot 2^{\nu_2(l)+2}\big) = \nu_2\big(S(c2^n - l2^{m-1}, 2^{m-1})\cdot 2^{\nu_2(l)+m}\big) = \nu_2(l)+m. \tag{3.33}$$

So by (3.33), we have

$$\nu_2\big(S(l2^{m-1}, 2^{m-1}-1)\cdot 2^{\nu_2(l)+2} + S(c2^n - l2^{m-1}, 2^{m-1})\cdot 2^{\nu_2(l)+m}\big) \geq \nu_2(l)+m+1. \tag{3.34}$$

It then follows from (3.31), (3.32), (3.34), Lemma 2.7 and the fact $\nu_2(c2^{n-m+1}-l) = \nu_2(l)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{m-1,n+1}(l2^m) \equiv& \binom{c2^n}{l2^{m-1}}\Big(S(l2^{m-1}, 2^{m-1}-1) + 2^{\nu_2(l)+m}\Big)\Big(S(c2^n - l2^{m-1}, 2^{m-1}) + 2^{\nu_2(l)+2}\Big) \\ \equiv& \binom{c2^n}{l2^{m-1}}\Big(S(l2^{m-1}, 2^{m-1}-1)\cdot 2^{\nu_2(l)+2} + S(c2^n - l2^{m-1}, 2^{m-1})\cdot 2^{\nu_2(l)+m}\Big) \\ & + f_{m-1,n}(l2^{m-1}) \equiv f_{m-1,n}(l2^{m-1}) \mod 2^{n+2}. \end{aligned}$$

That is, for each $l \in L_1$, one has

$$f_{m-1,n+1}(l2^m) - f_{m-1,n}(l2^{m-1}) \equiv 0 \mod 2^{n+2}. \tag{3.35}$$

Thus by (3.35), we deduce that

$$\Delta_1 \equiv 0 \mod 2^{n+2}. \tag{3.36}$$

Consequently, we consider Δ_2. Take an $l \in L_2$. One may let $l = l_1 2^{n-m+1}$ with $1 \leq l_1 \leq c-1$ being odd. By Lemma 2.1, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_2\Big(\binom{c2^n}{l2^{m-1}}\Big) &= \nu_2\Big(\binom{c2^n}{l_1 2^n}\Big)\\ &= s_2(l_1 2^n) + s_2((c-l_1)2^n) - s_2(c2^n)\\ &= s_2(l_1) + s_2(c-l_1) - s_2(c).\end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

It follows from Lemma 2.7 and (3.37) that

$$\nu_2\Big(\binom{c2^n}{l2^{m-1}} 2^{\nu_2(l)+m} S(c2^n - l2^{m-1}, 2^{m-1})\Big) = n+1+s_2(l_1)+s_2(c-l_1)-s_2(c). \tag{3.38}$$

Since c is odd, one has $\nu_2(c2^{n-m+1}-l) \geq n-m+2$. Then from (3.31), (3.37) and Lemma 2.7, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}f_{m-1,n+1}(l2^m) &\equiv \binom{c2^n}{l2^{m-1}}\Big(S(l2^{m-1}, 2^{m-1}-1) + 2^{\nu_2(l)+m}\Big) S(c2^n - l2^{m-1}, 2^{m-1})\\ &\equiv f_{m-1,n}(l2^{m-1}) + \binom{c2^n}{l2^{m-1}} 2^{\nu_2(l)+m} S(c2^n - l2^{m-1}, 2^{m-1}) \mod 2^{n+2}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.39}$$

Note that $l_1 = \frac{l}{2^{n-m+1}}$. Thus by (3.38) and (3.39), we deduce that for each $l \in L_2$, we have

$$f_{m-1,n+1}(l2^m) - f_{m-1,n}(l2^{m-1}) \equiv \begin{cases} 2^{n+1} & \text{if } s_2(\frac{l}{2^{n-m+1}}) + s_2(c - \frac{l}{2^{n-m+1}}) = s_2(c),\\ 0 & \text{otherwise}\end{cases} \mod 2^{n+2}. \tag{3.40}$$

It follows from (3.40) that

$$\Delta_2 \equiv \sum_{l \in L_2'} \big(f_{m-1,n+1}(l2^m) - f_{m-1,n}(l2^{m-1})\big) \equiv 2^{n+1}|L_2'| \mod 2^{n+2}, \tag{3.41}$$

where

$$L_2' := \{l \in L_2 | s_2(\frac{l}{2^{n-m+1}}) + s_2(c - \frac{l}{2^{n-m+1}}) = s_2(c)\}.$$

Now we deal with Δ_3. For any $l \in L_3$, since c is odd, one has $\nu_2(c2^{n-m+1}-l) = n-m+1$ and $1 \leq c2^{n-m+1} - l \leq c2^{n-m+1} - 1$. Then there exists an odd integer $1 \leq l_2 \leq c-1$ such

that $c2^{n-m+1}-l=l_2 2^{n-m+1}$. By Lemma 2.1, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_2\Big(\binom{c2^n}{l2^{m-1}}\Big) &= \nu_2\Big(\binom{c2^n}{(c2^{n-m+1}-l)2^{m-1}}\Big)\\ &= \nu_2\Big(\binom{c2^n}{l_2 2^n}\Big)\\ &= s_2(l_2)+s_2(c-l_2)-s_2(c). \end{aligned} \tag{3.42}$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 2.7 and (3.42), we obtain that

$$\nu_2\Big(\binom{c2^n}{l2^{m-1}}2^{n-m+3}S(l2^{m-1},2^{m-1}-1)\Big)=n+1+s_2(l_2)+s_2(c-l_2)-s_2(c). \tag{3.43}$$

On the other hand, since $\nu_2(l)>n-m+1$ and $\nu_2(c2^{n-m+1}-l)=n-m+1$, then by (3.31) and Lemma 2.7 we know that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{m-1,n+1}(l2^m) &\equiv \binom{c2^n}{l2^{m-1}}S(l2^{m-1},2^{m-1}-1)\Big(S(c2^n-l2^{m-1},2^{m-1})+2^{\nu_2(c2^{n-m+1}-l)+2}\Big)\\ &\equiv f_{m-1,n}(l2^{m-1})+\binom{c2^n}{l2^{m-1}}2^{n-m+3}S(l2^{m-1},2^{m-1}-1) \mod 2^{n+2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.44}$$

Since $l_2=c-\frac{l}{2^{n-m+1}}$, by (3.43) and (3.44), one deduces that for each $l\in L_3$,

$$f_{m-1,n+1}(l2^m)-f_{m-1,n}(l2^{m-1})\equiv\begin{cases}2^{n+1} & \text{if } s_2(\frac{l}{2^{n-m+1}})+s_2(c-\frac{l}{2^{n-m+1}})=s_2(c),\\ 0 & \text{otherwise}\end{cases} \mod 2^{n+2}. \tag{3.45}$$

It then follows from (3.45) that

$$\Delta_3\equiv\sum_{l\in L_3'}\big(f_{m-1,n+1}(l2^m)-f_{m-1,n}(l2^{m-1})\big)\equiv 2^{n+1}|L_3'| \mod 2^{n+2}, \tag{3.46}$$

where

$$L_3'=\{l\in L_3 | s_2(\frac{l}{2^{n-m+1}})+s_2(c-\frac{l}{2^{n-m+1}})=s_2(c)\}.$$

Let $\Psi: L_2'\to L_3'$ be a mapping defined for any $l\in L_2'$ by $\Psi(l):=c2^{n-m+1}-l$. Obviously, Ψ is well defined and injective. Take an element $\tilde{l}\in L_3'$. Then $1\le\tilde{l}\le c2^{n-m+1}-1$, $\nu_2(l)>n-m+1$ and

$$s_2(\frac{\tilde{l}}{2^{n-m+1}})+s_2(c-\frac{\tilde{l}}{2^{n-m+1}})=s_2(c).$$

Let $l=c2^{n-m+1}-\tilde{l}$. It follows that $1\le l\le c2^{n-m+1}-1$, $\nu_2(l)=n-m+1$ and

$$s_2(\frac{l}{2^{n-m+1}})+s_2(c-\frac{l}{2^{n-m+1}})=s_2(c-\frac{\tilde{l}}{2^{n-m+1}})+s_2(\frac{\tilde{l}}{2^{n-m+1}})=s_2(c).$$

Thus $l\in L_2'$. So Ψ is surjective. Hence Ψ is bijective, which means that $|L_2'|=|L_3'|$. It then follows from (3.27), (3.36), (3.41) and (3.46) that

$$\Delta_1+\Delta_2+\Delta_3\equiv 2^{n+1}(|L_2'|+|L_3'|)\equiv 0 \mod 2^{n+2}$$

as claimed. Hence (3.27) is proved.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3. □

References

[1] T. Amdeberhan, D. Manna and V. Moll, The 2-adic valuation of Stirling numbers, *Experimental Math.* **17** (2008), 69-82.

[2] M. Bendersky and D.M. Davis, 2-primary v_1-periodic homotopy groups of SU(n), *Amer. J. Math.* **114** (1991) 529-544.

[3] L. Carlitz, Congruences for generalized Bell and Stirling numbers, *Duke Math. J.* **22** (1955), 193-205.

[4] O-Y. Chan and D.V. Manna, Congruences for Stirling numbers of the Second kind, *Contemporary Math.* **517** (2010), 97-111.

[5] F. Clarke, Hensel's lemma and the divisibility by primes of Stirling-like numbers, *J. Number Theory* **52** (1995), 69-84.

[6] M.C. Crabb and K. Knapp, The Hurewicz map on stunted complex projective spaces, *Amer. J. Math.* **110** (1988), 783-809.

[7] D.M. Davis, Divisibility by 2 of Stirling-like numbers, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **110** (1990), 597-600.

[8] D.M. Davis, v_1-periodic homotopy groups of SU(n) at odd primes, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **43** (1991), 529-541.

[9] D.M. Davis, Divisibility by 2 and 3 of certain Stirling numbers, *Integers* **8** (2008) A56, 25pp.

[10] D.M. Davis and K. Potocka, 2-primary v_1-periodic homotopy groups of SU(n) revisited, *Forum Math.* **19** (2007), 783-822.

[11] S. Hong, J. Zhao and W. Zhao, The 2-adic valuations of Stirling numbers of the second kind, *Int. J. Number Theory* **8** (2012), 1057-1066.

[12] A. Junod, Congruences pour les polynômens et nombres de Bell, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc.* **9** (2002), 503-509.

[13] E.E. Kummer, Über die Ergänzungssätze zu den allgemeinen Reciprocitätsgesetzen, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **44** (1852), 93-146.

[14] Y.H. Kwong, Minimum periods of $S(n,k)$ modulo M, *Fibonacci Quart.* **27** (1989), 217-221.

[15] T. Lengyel, On the divisibility by 2 of Stirling numbers of the second kind, *Fibonacci Quart.* **32** (1994), 194-201.

[16] T. Lengyel, On the 2-adic order of Stirling numbers of the second kind and their differences, *DMTCS Proc. AK,* 2009: 561-572.

[17] A.T. Lundell, Generalized e-invariants and the numbers of James, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* **25** (1974), 427-440.

[18] A.T. Lundell, A divisibility property for Stirling numbers, *J. Number Theory* **10** (1978), 35-54.

[19] A.M. Robert, *A course in p-adic analysis*, GTM 198, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.

[20] Z.W. Sun, Combinatorial congruences and Stirling numbers, *Acta Arith.* **126** (2007), 387-398.

[21] S. De Wannemacker, On the 2-adic orders of Stirling numbers of the second kind, *Integers* **5** (2005) #A21, 7pp.

[22] J. Zhao, S. Hong and W. Zhao, Divisibility by 2 of Stirling numbers of the second kind and their differences, *J. Number Theory* **140** (2014), 324-348.

[23] P.T. Young, Congruences for degenerate number sequence, *Discrete Math.* **270** (2003), 279-289.